

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/425,633	10/22/1999	MARK CHEE	A-68087-1/RMS/DCF	9821
7590 10/16/2003		EXAMINER		
Astrid R. Spain			FORMAN, BETTY J	
McDermott, W	ill & Emery			
4370 La Jolla Village Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7th floor			1634	
San Diego, CA 92122			DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09 425635	3				
				EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER	
				1003	

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The timely submission under 37 CFR 1.129(a) filed on 28 July 2003 is not fully responsive to the prior Office action because the response does not address the rejection of Claims 29-31, 42-43 and 46-48 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting. Applicant merely requests that the rejection be held in abeyance until otherwise allowable subject matter is found. However, this request is deemed non-responsive to the rejection. Since the submission appears to be a *bona fide* attempt to provide a complete reply to the prior Office action, applicant is given a shortened statutory period of ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this letter, whichever is longer, to submit a complete reply. This shortened statutory period supersedes the time period set in the prior Office action. This time period may be extended pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a). If a notice of appeal and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) were filed prior to or with the payment of the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(r), the payment of the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(r) by applicant is construed as a request to dismiss the appeal and to continue prosecution under 37 CFR 1.129(a). The appeal stands dismissed.

BJ FORMAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER